The Sustainable Development Review
https://sustainabledevreview.com/index.php/73/about
Volume. 1 Issue No. 1 (2024)

The Role of AI in Fact-Checking and News Verification

Munir Haq
FAST-NUCES (National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences)
Ch. Nizar Ul Haq
FAST-NUCES (National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences)

Abstract

Amidst the flourishing of online platforms today, we can easily stay news-informed. Rapidly updating news in multimedia forms has become a new norm, doubtlessly benefitting the contemporaries. There is no denying that the timely access to information is more crucial than ever. However, the deluge of information equally brings about challenges, particularly the accuracy and genuineness of the news. This essay, hence, dissects the role and potential of artificial intelligence (AI) in the context of fact-checking and media verification. AI could potentially be key in enhancing the news industry in terms of timeliness, effectiveness, and accuracy. It is increasingly employed in journalism as well, but the ethical aspects of it are far from settled, and so in that sphere both perils and promises are also enormous. As such, as AI technologies are being adopted more widely in the news industry, their implications for journalism will constantly change. Discussing this has both an immediate and a longer-term rationale. In the near term, with the technology still maturing, it is crucial to understand which policy and regulatory measures should be taken and what transparency standards should be adopted. These steps are essential to ensure that AI tools in journalism are beneficial to democratic societies, do not dislodge human journalists, and are not easily exploited to manipulate public opinion. As the technology continues to evolve through the mid- and long-term, its use will pose further existential challenges to a sector and a profession already in considerable distress, such as fragility of business models, erosion of jobs, algorithms edging out journalists, and jockeying with tech giants for access to public attention.

Keywords AI, fact-checking, news verification, journalism, media accuracy, ethical considerations, technology adoption, public opinion

2. Introduction

Never before in human history was there so much information available at the touch of a button. The digital revolution that started in the last decades of the 20th century changed the way society thinks and acts and gave birth to an information era. This era is characterized by the popularization of the Internet, which allowed the democratization of information. Now more than ever, every individual on Earth can be a content producer, shaping reality and disseminating information. However, it gave rise to the Information Chaos, in which the truth and the half-truths blend in a melting pot of disinformation.

Moreover, the quick dissemination of this content is facilitated by the same tools. Except that it is up to 70% faster to spread fake news than verified ones. Therefore, the need for new methodologies to combat this type of disinformation has emerged. Thereby, in this context rises AI, an emergent technology with multiple applications and that in the context of media can act in a preventive way against malpractice. However, the advent and use of AI in a context of journalistic checks raises concerns about the impartiality and ethics of the process. Thereby, through a bibliographic analysis supporting the reports of the specialists in complex subject sought to know the impact on the journalistic process when AI is used in the verification of news. The results suggest that AI can be crucial in combating the spread of fake news and that there are multiple ways of applying AI in verification, focusing mostly on the fact-checking process and the verification of images, audios, and the origin of the message. This, without neglecting important ethical aspects and the search for journalistic and political neutrality on the part of the periodicals. (Giansiracusa, 2021)

3. The Basics of Fact-Checking and News Verification

In principle, any claim someone makes or report someone publishes can be fact-checked. However, the traditional methods of assessing journalistic reports, largely relying on judging the reliability of the assertions made, are not really very effective. Essentially, there are only two ways to explore the validity of something that is reported. In time-honoured fashion, a reporter could check the story, ask noticeable questions, or try to obtain a version of events from an independent source. Historically, such acts of investigative journalism have kept those in power honest, yet these modes are actually relatively limited as methods of verification. The likelihood of getting caught is slim, as most claims or reports are not contested. In any event, there can be confounding reasons for contradictory findings, while hugely engrossing but false reports can easily be published. Vigorous investigative reporting can similarly produce spurious claims that cast the subject in a negative light, which reputable sources will be reluctant to counter without verified evidence. A more effective method of guaranteeing a report's truth is the open and transparent provision of additional information, such that it can be checked first-hand or independently verified (Nakov et al., 2021).

This basic facet of reporting is particularly important as the growth of social media has distributed news reporting to the masses. While this has democratized the agenda and made reportage more immediate and inclusive, by its very nature the veracity of social media reports are often more obscure. The reliability of mainstream journalism has always been dependent on the reputation of the source, and so the founders of American democracy were concerned that trusted sources be protected. However, with the rise of vanity publishing everything is now a source, making cross-checking a story quickly far harder. For any approach taken for this task, fact-checking stories for honesty would be an astounding use of computing.

4. AI Applications in Fact-Checking

Journalists and fact-checkers may use tools and algorithms developed for their assistance in quickly providing evidence for statement verification. For such requirements on checking statements, tools and algorithms can be developed that show the most relevant data evidencing a claim for being true or false. These algorithms can analyze if the data claim is related to other data that is previously shown to be true or false, check the claim data against trusted data sources, or analyze if the claim data is related to data that has been shown to contradict previously. Several such approaches could be implemented as smartphone plug-ins, news aggregators, or search engines where the algorithms would query an external database (Nakov et al., 2021). These could be developed in any language and could handle any kind of data (text, images, audio, or video) and could, in so doing, revolutionize how fact-checking is being done. Another important aspect for quickly knowing if a new claim that has gone viral has already checked a claim, especially if proven viral fake news can spread 6 times than the real one. Even several days or weeks after an event, professional journalists and fact-checkers discover that there are many false claims related to that event, e.g., size of the crowd, reason of an event, and other manipulated data. Auto-generated content can make these false claims present them better, harder for detecting. For that reason, automated tools could be developed for journalists, fact-checkers, and other users to check if a disputed data has already been checked a data in any language. The online tools provide data for detecting fakes or manipulated content, data for detecting if the disputed data is same as a data that has been previously proven false, and data for detecting if the disputed data is related to data that has been previously proven fake. Also, spot checking fact could be developed in any language and could revolutionize fact-checking across multiple languages.

5. Challenges and Limitations of AI in Fact-Checking

1. Challenges and limitations of AI in fact-checking. 1.1. Biases and Interpretability. So far, the development of fully automated solutions for fact-checking claims is a challenging task. There are also many limitations associated with the widely accepted approaches in journalists' fact-checking practice. Human fact-checkers need to consider context – develop stories over time, in different settings, and with diverse perspectives. The interpretation of a claim might depend on the socio-political context or the characteristics of the speaker. In other words, the same piece of evidence might have different implications depending on the viewpoint from which it is observed (Nakov et al., 2021). This inherently indirect relationship is hardly generalizable to an algorithm. In this sense, journalists will still be needed to interpret facts and assess context to publish a fact-check.

1.2. Ethical and Fairness. Ethical use is of major concern. There is no doubt about the chances to improve any automated fact-checking pipeline if the most recent NLP technologies are used. The trend towards an increased role of AI in news production is not without downsides. The journalistic figure with its "ethics of truth-seeking and verifying" is likely to be eroded in case bots take over story writing.

1.3. Language and Cultural Understanding. Given the current state of the art, technological limitations make it almost impossible for AI to excel at tasks that require an in-depth understanding of nuanced languages. This is especially acute considering cultural differences. It is trivial to imagine a piece of news that could be easily understood by the speaking community, or pertinent to their societal and historical context, but it would be extremely hard to interpret it for an off-the-wall fact-checking service.

1.4. Transparency and Accountability. The fact-checking task has a few idiosyncrasies that demand the automated systems placed on duty to take extra caution. Fact-checking is

a process vulnerable to the emergence of a ground truth. It is used to refute claims, to hit screeners, and applied to non-textual pieces. Any AI tool that goes beyond the comparison of 2 text blocks will be hardly explained to the reader on how it works and how its decisions were made. (Micallef et al.2022)

6. Ethical Considerations in AI-Powered News Verification

Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) technology have enabled the growth of AI-powered applications across different sectors. One such application is the deployment of AI in news verification and fact-checking. Although promising, the use of AI for news verification raises a range of ethical issues. Media professionals using AI tools to verify news must think critically about these issues and take steps to ensure their implementation is transparent, equitable, and promotes public understanding.

AI analysis of news data is predicated on machine learning algorithms, which, when searching for patterns, often reinforce systemic biases present in training data. Incorrect outputs of AI models may be amplified and subsequently legitimized upon dissemination by news workers, severely impacting public trust (Gupta et al., 2022). On a broader level, the automation may create a barrier for citizens to participate in the news verification process through reinforcing the epistemic divide. Highlighting potential dangers of fact-checking tools is necessary to encourage responsible implementation by different stakeholders. In response, these stakeholders can adopt a set of practices to critically deploy and interpret AI-generated outputs and minimize any potential harms.

Access to personal data is crucial throughout the entire news reporting process. However, deep integration of personal data in AI verification tools may raise concern about privacy and consent. With the introduction of laws such as the GDPR, media professionals using AI tools for news verification must ensure compliance with relevant regulations. It is crucial that provisions for the use of personal data are legally compliant and also comprehensible to the individuals concerned, especially in settings where AI-powered

tools are used to verify their information (Kim, 2019). In the future, researchers and developers should also consider the design of mechanisms for validating the provenance of outputs generated by AI models. These mechanisms could aim to improve the transparency of the content generation process, enabling both journalists and the wider public to understand how news content is automatically verified and limiting the dissemination of potentially manipulated or incorrect information. By ensuring news verification tools are designed and deployed with clear standards of ethical transparency in mind, media professionals can be more confident in the responsible use of AI applications in the newsroom and the wider public can better understand the changing face of the information ecosystem. (Curzon et al.2021)

7. Case Studies and Success Stories

1. At a Global Level: During the global spread of the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020, the AFP, USA Today, and FactPage organizations made frequent, automated checks of the Wuhan coronavirus and its newly diagnosed cases in France, the USA, and Thailand, respectively. As the number of cases increased, the detection and automated debunking of the most popular fake news revealed the importance of platting the rise in cases vs fake news and considered cooperation with social networks to fight fake news more effectively (Nakov et al., 2021).

2. At a Network Level: The Swedish project “Factbar” uses a chatbot to facilitate the shared intelligence for real-time fact-checking especially for smaller and local news organizations and libraries, relies on one-word statements that represent fakes, trusts, or unverified, and enables the operation in rural environments or with small ad hoc groups, remotely.

3. At a Regional Level: During the 2021 German federal elections, Google and dpa German Press Agency established a real-time fact-checking and correction service, including AI-assisted specialized NLP tools to monitor and counter subtle disinformation

and misinformation in the A-platform, answered by non-reversible source plugins that appear directly in the search results, bothered by copyright infringement, the forwarding of fake news, and unethical behavior by the 3rd party, called pervert plug-in deception, and inspired the strategies for campaign time.

4. At a Local Level: Following years of falling revenues and consolidation, some aging investigative reporters established the “Park Record” in 2020, the first dedicated fact-checking agency in Utah, US, which is based on a data base of the tables “Operator, Relationship, Actor” that enables the network analysis of >50K cables released by WikiLeaks, planned a web algorithm that scores the TRUTH of headlines, and employs approximately 400 users that label consumer articles as TRUE or FALSE to train the algorithm. (Micallef et al.2022)

8. Future Directions and Opportunities

As AI technologies continue to advance, new opportunities for integrating these technologies into news verification processes are emerging in parallel. The emergence of more sophisticated algorithms can enhance their ability to process and understand languages with many nuances—algorithms that can, for example, answer questions, translate dialogue directly in a desired manner, or generate poems, novels, or songs with high-quality composition, and decisions are entirely left up to the user. However, as another example, they can also be exploited in a deliberate way to deceive people or reveal prejudices. Artificial intelligence (AI) is developing quickly, taking the form of machines and interacting more often with people, also in journalistic environments. AI allows journalists, as an example, to write stories faster or to delve deep into databases of facts much larger than before. But it also poses serious challenges to journalism, such as how to explain a robot story of court decisions to the public and how to create rules for press freedom and privacy that machines can comply with. There are more and more initiatives in this regard, but there is still some way to go (Gupta et al., 2022). One of the

tasks is the stylized interpretation of email. Another possible task is the utilization of credibility classification in customer service. Commercial actors, as well as verification services, are conducting continuous adaptation to maintain the accuracy of technological deployment. Machine learning broadly categorized into supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning is a subset of artificial intelligence. The term specifically refers to the process of creating models that can learn patterns from data. On the same dates but five years later, a group of journalism academics, technologists, and experts in technology and society come together to establish the last principles of trust and ethics for the informational use of artificial intelligence. They address issues ranging from transparency and diverse news ecosystems to AI-generated misinformation and secure communication channels. These principles cut across technology, law, policy, and society, providing an open resource that can help inform future responsible autonomous system developments in the media sector. Tools need to be developed to assess media literacy and AI's impact on it as well as the ethical use of AI in tools to generate content (Peng et al., 2022).

9. Conclusion

The automation of fact-checking through accounts and developers/tools has seen a steady rise in tools to deal with the detection of false information. Fact-checking is perhaps amongst the most heavily studied NLP tasks, with many shared tasks. The methodology of manually identifying trends currently on social media and news is of great interest to ITNFacta, a verification success project, designed for speeding the fact-checking process. ITNFacta eliminates the need for investigative journalists inspecting online forums and allows for exploiting AI technology to fulfil this task quickly. Limitations and considerations for working with AI models to tackle this challenge are discussed. Fact-checking organizations assess news stories carried by outlets, which makes the job more comfortable as the same type of information is aggregated. Prominent translators of fake

content are now being automatically identified through apps. This could be done early if false stories are identified because app developers could not make the cost of the translation effort worthwhile. The misinformation code was seen to be limited in evaluation, either through a dedicated tool or more leniently if developers announced such approaches outside of the section in the descriptions. Topics and fake examples of content would come up repeatedly. Tools account for the observed spread of these instances, with specialised strategies adopted in identifying more uncommon stories.

References

Gupta, N., Ibañez, J., & Tenove, C. (2022). The Peril and Promise of AI for Journalism. osf.io

Giansiracusa, N. (2021). How algorithms create and prevent fake news. [HTML]

Nakov, P., Corney, D., Hasanain, M., Alam, F., Elsayed, T., Barrón-Cedeño, A., Papotti, P., Shaar, S., & Da San Martino, G. (2021). Automated Fact-Checking for Assisting Human Fact-Checkers. [PDF]

Micallef, N., Armacost, V., Memon, N., & Patil, S. (2022). True or false: Studying the work practices of professional fact-checkers. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 6(CSCW1), 1-44. acm.org

Kim, H. (2019). AI in Journalism: Creating an Ethical Framework. [PDF]

Curzon, J., Kosa, T. A., Akalu, R., & El-Khatib, K. (2021). Privacy and artificial intelligence. IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence, 2(2), 96-108. [HTML]

Peng, X., Xu, Q., Feng, Z., Zhao, H., Tan, L., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Z., Gong, C., & Zheng, Y. (2022). Automatic News Generation and Fact-Checking System Based on Language Processing. [PDF]